EXHIBIT B



		95
1	moving forward with a foreclosure notice.	
2	Q. Have you been provided notice of that foreclosure	
3	or that intent to foreclose?	
4	A. We got a notice of intent, I believe.	
5	Q. And who sent that to you?	
6	A. That would be Dr. Kim.	
7	Q. Directly?	
8	A. Yes, directly.	
9	Q. Not through counsel?	
10	A. Not through counsel.	
11	Q. And for the record, Kennedy Funding has been made	
12	apprised of all the encumbrances on that property thus far.	
13	Correct?	
14	A. Yes. That's correct. It's our understanding that	
15	all of those encumbrances will be paid off at closing.	
16	Q. Do you personally earn any income from any	
17	non-Stavatti entities?	
18	A. I do.	
19	Q. And what is it that you do to earn income?	
20	A. Well, I have a partnership with a company owned by	
21	a friend of mine, David Wilcock, and we did different video	
22	presentations.	
23	Q. And is that the same David Wilcock who is a	
24	shareholder in Stavatti?	
25	A. Yes, that is correct.	

			112
1	Commission	on?	112
2	Α.	No.	
3	Q.	And are you?	
4	Α.	No.	
5	Q.	Is it your contention that you did not sign the	
6	promisso	ry note?	
7	Α.	I didn't sign it, no. That's correct. I did not	
8	sign the	exact note. What would usually happen, like in	
9	the case	of any investment document that Stavatti does, how	V
10	I like to	o see it happen and how the procedure is, is I get	
11	an idea o	of what kind of relationship we'll have. We put	
12	the term	s together on a piece of paper that I create. It	
13	is then	issued to the party. They execute the agreement,	
14	and then	I will sign it. And depending on what the deal	
15	is, we ma	ay have that notarized.	
16	Q.	And then how long after that those funds hit	
17	your acco	ount did you contact the bank to let them know it	
18	was erro	neous?	
19	Α.	We did not contact the bank to let them know it	
20	was erro	neous. We accepted it as an investment.	
21	Q.	Absent, according to your contention, a signed	
22	document	from you?	
23	Α.	Correct.	
24	Q.	And do you just is it standard process at	
25	Stavatti	to accept gratuitous investments?	

	Q.	In	а	suc	ccinct	fasł	nion,	what	ef:	forts,	if	any,	did
Sta	vatti	mak	ie	to	repay	the	Plair	ntiff'	s :	loan?			

A. The big effort is to complete the fundraising through DelMorgan. The intent, of course, was to raise \$35 million through DelMorgan. And once that funding has been raised, to repay all debts. And then move forward with our business plans.

Due to DelMorgan's inability to secure the funding for us, we began a process in February of 2023 and even prior to that. Part of the issue -- and I'll try to be succinct -- the DelMorgan agreement had an element of exclusivity in it. So in order to raise additional capital, we had to navigate that in a very cautious manner if they were not performing.

We believed that DelMorgan having completed their fundraising documents, such as their pitch deck and their teaser in June of 2022, that they were actually actively fundraising for us. They should have been able to fundraise, and this entire matter should have been resolved with respect to fundraising within six months.

Unfortunately, they didn't do that. They were unable to fundraise for whatever reason. We changed gears with DelMorgan in December of 2022, informing them:
Since you're unable to raise funding for military projects,
let's switch gears to a nonmilitary project. And our

	161
1	"Yes. The one million loan provided by
2	Mr. Dimitrov as an angel investor lender was
3	received on 1 March, 2022, by Stavatti to
4	serve as seed capital for the purpose of
5	paying initial fees associated with DelMorgan
6	& Co, DelMorgan, investment banking firm
7	engaged by Stavatti."
8	Q. Thank you.
9	We previously discussed bank accounts.
10	A. Uh-huh.
11	Q. And per your description, the primary account, as
12	you called it, is the account ending in 7316. Correct?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. And that's a bank account with Bank of America.
15	Is that correct?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. And would you say that you reasonably monitor that
18	account in your position as
19	A. I do.
20	Q. Thank you.
21	And are you aware that on March 1st of 2022,
22	that that account received a counter credit of \$912,500?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Are you also aware that on to that same
25	account, on April 20th of 2022, the company received an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

1				
1	+ h - +	preceded	+ h ~	1 01.7011 1 + 2
1	LIIaL	preceded	LIIE	Tawsult:

- A. Yeah. Yeah. The only -- the only point of contact that Stavatti had with Valentino Dimitrov that I was in communication or anyone else did was Brian Colvin.
- Q. So am I correct in saying that before today, you had never even spoken to him?
- A. I had not spoken with him. Didn't know who he was.
- 9 Q. You had only engaged with him through his counsel 10 that being --
 - A. That being you.
- 12 Q. Correct.
 - A. Didn't have his phone number. As a matter of fact, I don't think I got his phone number until Brian Colvin surrendered the promissory note that -- under Bonadio's request to do an audited financial statement.
- 17 O. Which had it on it?
 - A. Which had it on it, correct.
 - Q. Once the funds were received, other than the remittance to DelMorgan, which you expressed was the need for those funds -- for those funds in your letter --
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- 23 | 0. -- what else was the money used for?
- A. To pay off some of these debts associated with 9400 Porter Road. We used it to engage the services of



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

19

2.0

21

25

Т3	Enterpri	ises,	general	business	operating	expenses
ass	sociated	with	that.			

- I think at some point I provided a list. I mean, it's within that -- our bank statement reflects where money went in that time period. So...
- Q. Sure. And you can confirm that John Simon has signature authority over the Stavatti Niagara account.
- A. Correct. And so a certain portion of funds would be transferred to that, and they would be spent on the expenses associated with 9400 Porter Road.
- Q. Does anybody other than yourself have signature authority over that -- over the primary account, the 7316 account?
- 15 A. No.
 - Q. Does that include your wife?
- 17 A. She has no signatory authority over any Stavatti
 18 accounts.
 - Q. In reviewing the cap table, a particular shareholder was of interest just given the amount of equity he purportedly has, that being David Wilcock.
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. How does Mr. Wilcock acquire that many shares in Stavatti Enterprises?
 - A. David was one of our founding shareholders. David



_	_			-			
Α.	LS	15	1 rr	^e Le	evan	t ?	

- Q. It's not that it's irrelevant. It's not in response to my question. Ask me a question, I'd be happy to respond to it. I can't comment on as to whether I saw that letter from the Ukrainian.
- A. We can provide it. But we have a lot of stuff like that.
- Q. What was your understanding of the purpose of the Plaintiff's investment?
- A. The purpose of the Plaintiff's investment was to raise \$100,000 to pay DelMorgan their initial up-front fee of \$100,000 so that they can then be engaged and write the private placement memorandum and go forth and raise the money we need to build the prototype of the MiG to get the Ukrainian contract to go for it and build upgraded MiGs, and then we'll be happily ever after.
- Q. So is it fair to say that at the time you believed that Stavatti would be able to repay the Plaintiff's loan according to his terms --
 - A. Oh, yes.
 - Q. -- given the impending financing?
- A. Yeah, we were -- we were of the understanding that DelMorgan being a professional banking firm would have this done in a jiffy, that they -- within 30 days, they'd crank out the documentation needed, and that they had a network



like he	re. Take	it.	Stamp	your	name	on	it.	Go	raise
money.	What's t	he pr	coblem?						

So that was my whole attitude. And the reason we were engaging them is because they were a professional FINRA registered investment banking firm with a network. And that it's -- you know, it's not just me out there trying to raise money. It's a -- you know, here you go.

Q. But the funds were being raised for working capital, as you say, and additionally to pay off existing debts?

A. Well, the initial purpose was, I mean, just raise \$100,000. If we raise more than that, then it will go to business expenses. But the goal was not necessarily to raise millions of dollars. The goal was: Let's raise \$100,000. Make sure DelMorgan is funded and, you know, raise extra if you can, because the DelMorgan may have --it may be additional fees. They may ask me to go travel to, you know, who knows. Could travel to Paris for all I know to try to raise money, because the French are so happy to support Ukraine.

So we were -- of course, we had other operating expenses. But from our perspective, we expected within six months of the engagement that we'd be capitalized at \$30 million. Any debt would be repaid, and



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

174

we'd be	off	to	the	races
---------	-----	----	-----	-------

Q. Thank you.

Are you aware of the pitch deck that was created titled "Stavatti Aerospace 2 Billion Pitch Deck"?

- A. Yes. I believe I created it, yes.
- O. You created it?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And within it -- obviously it's intended to be enticing to potential investors or noteholders. It says in there -- and I think you can acknowledge it -- that there's a \$2 billion investment opportunity. Correct?
- 12 A. Correct. Yes.
- 13 Q. That's something you authored?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | MR. DUNMIRE: What date was that one?
- 16 MR. CHEBAT: A date on this document is
- 17 June 2022, and the cage code of the company is found on
- 18 | there. The DUNS number is found on there. And there's a
- 19 copyright rights reservation as of 2022 for Stavatti
- 20 Aerospace.
- 21 BY MR. CHEBAT:
- 22 Q. And you acknowledge it's a document?
- A. Yes. It's one of our many pitch decks that we've been creating.
 - Q. Excellent. And here in this document you have a



		183
1	considered an executed promissory note?	
2	A. They were not executed by me.	
3	Q. Or authorized by you?	
4	A. Or authorized by me.	
5	Q. Okay. So if you said, yes, they were executed,	
6	that was misspeaking?	
7	A. They were it was misspeaking on my part as far	
8	as did I did I personally execute them and did I	
9	personally approve them and were they accepted to the	
10	board.	
11	Now, am I we've accepted the money. We	
12	received the money. We acknowledge that these people	
13	invested in Stavatti. So our purpose is to make those	
14	people whole.	
15	Q. Okay. Thank you.	
16		
10	Did and a little repetitive, I suppose.	
17		
	Did and a little repetitive, I suppose.	
17	Did and a little repetitive, I suppose. Did you ever authorize Brian to use a	
17 18	Did and a little repetitive, I suppose. Did you ever authorize Brian to use a facsimile signature bearing your name on promissory notes	
17 18 19	Did and a little repetitive, I suppose. Did you ever authorize Brian to use a facsimile signature bearing your name on promissory notes to be filled out by Brian?	
17 18 19 20	Did and a little repetitive, I suppose. Did you ever authorize Brian to use a facsimile signature bearing your name on promissory notes to be filled out by Brian? A. No.	
17 18 19 20 21	Did and a little repetitive, I suppose. Did you ever authorize Brian to use a facsimile signature bearing your name on promissory notes to be filled out by Brian? A. No. Q. You know, this February 15th e-mail that just	
17 18 19 20 21 22	Did and a little repetitive, I suppose. Did you ever authorize Brian to use a facsimile signature bearing your name on promissory notes to be filled out by Brian? A. No. Q. You know, this February 15th e-mail that just came, I first saw it this morning, and you read it into the	

1	he was not president. Correct?
2	A. No, he wasn't. And even I think I had sent you
3	a copy which was uploaded to the disclosures of a draft
4	investment agreement. I believe it was maybe January 10th
5	or some date, February 10th, perhaps. It was a draft term
6	sheet for a proposed \$2-1/2 million funding. It mentions
7	the Super Fulcrum project. It mentions potential terms,
8	and it has my name on it, Brian as a vice president, and
9	then Valentino Dimitrov.
10	And it was my understanding that this is
11	going to be an initial template, icebreaking document that
12	could then be used to develop some formal agreement. So
13	that was, you know, really the last I heard of the Dimitrov
14	investment up until it happened.
15	And so it was, you know, kind of a
16	bait-and-switch operation as far as I was concerned. We
17	give him one document that I said, okay, this is the
18	structure that could work, but here are the parties. And
19	so he was clearly represented as a vice president on that
20	document.
21	MR. DUNMIRE: Could we go off record for a
22	moment.
23	MR. CHEBAT: Yes.
24	(Discussion off the record.)
25	MR. DUNMIRE: I I don't think I have